

Thank you for joining us – the webinar will start shortly



Looking to the horizon: *How should we set long term longevity improvement rates*?

Wednesday November 9th, 2022

8am PT / 11am ET / 4pm UK





Looking to the horizon: How should we set long term longevity improvement rates?











Erik Pickett (Chair) Actuary & Chief Content Officer, Club Vita

Shantel Aris

Longevity modeler & Head of Experience Studies, Club Vita

Director, Deloitte; Former chair of SoA's RPEC

Tim Geddes

Assia Billig

Chief Actuary of

the Government of

Canada

Stuart McDonald

Partner, LCP; Chair, Actuaries Response Group; ExCo member, CMI



- 1. Background and Theory
- 2. What happens in practice?







Background Shantel Aris

4

Projecting mortality into the future



Oeppen & Vaupel observation (extended)



Sources: Club Vita analysis of the Human Mortality Database dataset. University of California, Berkeley (USA), and Max Planck Institute for Demographic Research (Germany). Available at <u>www.mortality.org-or-www.humanmortality.de-(</u>data dow nloaded on 16 July 2021); Club Vita presentation of the IAAF men's 100m ratified w orld records

6





Have different groups experienced these trends differently?

CLUB

7



Methods for calculating long term improvements Shantel Aris



Top down:Improvements observed over the long term

Age-standardized mortality rate (ASMR) Canada men, over 65







11

Improvements observed over the long term

Age standardised mortality rate (ASMR) England & Wales men, over 65





Top down:

Improvements observed over the long term

Age standardized mortality rate (ASMR) US men, over 65



Top down:

12

Bottom up: *Projecting mortality by cause of death*

US, deaths per 100,000 lives Men, aged 75-79 6,000 5,000 4,000 Project expected changes in causes of death into the future 3,000 2,000 1,000 0 1950 1960 1970 1980 1990 2000 2010 2020 Circulatory disease ——Respiratory disease ——Cancers ——Other causes

Own calculations based upon data from World Health Organisation (WHO) and United Nations (UN). Figures are shown as deaths per 100,000 lives.





Bottom up: *Projecting mortality by cause of cause of death*



Sense checking: Scenario analysis & expert judgement



CLUB





What happens in practice? *Tim Geddes*



SOA – RPEC: Methodology of Long-Term Rate Development

SOA's Mortality Improvement Analysis

The SOA's RPEC has produced a series of mortality improvement scales beginning with the MP-2014 scale released in October 2014. More recently, the SOA's Longevity Advisory Group has released and maintained MIM-2021, which is a more general mortality improvement model.

RPEC Mortality Tables

The Society of Actuaries' (SOA) Retirement Plans Experience Committee (RPEC) produces pensionspecific mortality tables

- The RPEC collects data from pension sponsors, plan administrators, actuarial consulting firms, and pension risk transfer insurers to produce pension-specific mortality tables.
- Separate mortality studies are performed for public- and private-sector pension plans
- Within each study, tables are further separated based on indications of gender, employee vs. annuitant, work-type, salary / benefit amount, and disability status.

RPEC Mortality Improvement Scales

The RPEC's first improvement scale was released in October 2014.

- The RPEC utilizes data published by the Social Security Administration (SSA) as the foundation for its mortality improvement analysis.
- To reduce the lag time in reflecting emerging improvement data, the most recently available data is compiled by the RPEC itself from the same data sources as those used by the SSA using the SSA's graduation methodology.
- The RPEC had released an Excel-based improvement model along with the annual scales from 2014 through 2020 to permit actuaries to use alternatives to the committee-selected assumption set.

SOA Longevity Advisory Group

The Longevity Advisory Group (Advisory Group) is a cross-discipline committee of the SOA, which focuses on developing a common mortality improvement model.

- The Advisory Group produced the Mortality Improvement Model, MIM-2021, in April 2021
- MIM-2021 incorporates the data utilized for the MP-20xx scales. The MIM-2021-v2 model replaces the RPEC 2014 Excel-based tool.
- The MIM-2021 model includes choices for the user as to the underlying dataset
 - The same SSA data used in the RPEC's model
 - Data from the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) which can be separated by county-level socioeconomic deciles or quintiles

Mortality Improvement Rate Literature Assessment

In 2013, the SOA commissioned a research project at the RPEC's request to identify past longevity trends and opinions on future expectations. The paper found divergent opinions on the possible trajectory of long-term improvement.



Literature Review and Assessment of

Structure of Improvement Models	 Often based on gender, age, period, and cohort effects Discussed seminal work by Ronald Lee and Lawrence Carter on improvement Referenced the G-A-P-C models constructed by CMI 	Mortality Improvement Rates in the U.S. Population: Past Experience and Future Long-Term Trends AUGUST 2013
Principal Forecasting	• Extrapolative: Projecting past trends into the future	SPONSORED BY PREPARED BY
Techniques	 Process-based: Explicit forecasting of causes of death 	Society of Actuaries Bruce Rosner, FSA, MAAA Chris Raham, FSA, MAAA
	 Explanatory: Use contributing factors to explain changes in future mortality (e.g., smoking prevalence) 	Francisco Orduña, FSA, MAAA Michael Chan, FSA, MAAA Lynn Xue, FSA, MAAA Zak Benjazia Gordon Yang
Commentary on Long-	 Compression theory / squaring of mortality curve 	Ernst & Young LLP
Term Historical	Olshansky's practical limits theory	The opinions expressed and conclusions reached by the authors are their own and do not represent any official position or opinion of the Society of Actuaries or its members. The Society of Actuaries and the Society of Actuaries' Retirement Plans Experience Committee make no representations regarding the accuracy or completeness of the content of this Study. It is for informational purposes only. The SOA does not recommend, encourage or endorse any particular use of the information provided in this Study. The Study should not be construed as professional or financial advice. The SOA makes no warranty, express or implied.
Observations	Identifies the Oeppen and Vaupel study with "record" life expectancies	
Summary on	 Cite Social Security and Technical Panel suggestions for improvement rates 	guarantee or representation whatsoever and assumes no liability or responsibility in connection with the use or misuse of this Study. In addition, the discussion and examples presented in this paper are for educational purposes.
Long-Term Rate	 Provides a number of other sources for maximum life expectancies (Fries, Vaupel, White, Olshansky, Manton) 	© 2013 Society of Actuaries, all rights reserved
	 Few experts translate longevity limits to expected improvement rates 	
	 Highlight tendency for opinions to polarize to extremes 	

RPEC_2014 Mortality Improvement Model Framework

Since the original issuance of the MP-2014 scale, the scales have been produced with committee-selected parameterizations of the RPEC_2014 improvement model. The model is built around a 3-part framework.

Use of Recent Experience

Recently observed experience is deemed the best predictor of future, near-term mortality rates

Ultimately Reach Long-Term Rates

Long-term rates of mortality improvement should be based on "expert opinion" and analysis of long-term improvement patterns.

Smooth Transition to Long-Term Rate

Near-term rates should transition smoothly into the assumed long-term mortality improvement rates over appropriately selected convergence periods.

MP-2014 Long-Term Rates

A number of factors led to the selection of the 1% long-term improvement assumption used in the MP-2014 through MP-2019 scales.



- The RPEC considered average long-term data on improvement rates
- The average improvement rate between 1900 and 2010 was found to be roughly 1%
- The average over the period 1982 to 2010 was calculated at 0.95%



- The 2007 Technical Panel recommended that the average rate in the intermediate cost projection be updated to 1.0%
- The 2011 Technical panel recommended higher life expectancies consistent with an improvement rate of 1.26%



 The Congressional Budget Office increased its long-term improvement rates to 1.16% in September 2013



- The Social Security Administration's Trustees Report included rates that varied by age for the period 2010-2088
- The long-term improvement rates were roughly 1.1% for 50 – 64
- That rate declined to 0.88% for 65-84
- The rate declined further to 0.55% for 85+

MP-2014 Long-Term Improvement Rates

In 2014, the RPEC selected the long-term improvement as a flat 1% for ages under 85. The rates graded slowly to 0.85% at age 95 and then linearly to 0.00% at age 115.



Changes to RPEC's Long-Term Rates

The RPEC revised the committee-selected assumptions with the release of the MP-2020 scale. The result was to increase the long-term rate at younger ages and decrease it at older ages.

The Social Security Technical Panel once again discussed the long-term rate in 2019

- Pointed toward a long-term rate at all ages around 1%
- Highlighted a lower rate around 0.8% for ages 65+

The committee calculated long-term averages of Social Security Administration data on age-sex-adjusted death rates for various long-run periods

Time Period	Under 65	65 and Over
1940 - 2019	1.39%	0.94%
1950 - 2019	1.33%	0.94%
1960 - 2019	1.39%	0.98%
1970 - 2019	1.35%	0.90%
1980 - 2019	1.15%	0.87%
1940 - 1980	1.37%	0.95%
1950 - 1990	1.31%	1.00%
1960 - 2000	1.58%	1.02%
1970 - 2010	1.56%	0.85%

Revised Long-Term Rates in MP-2020

Beginning with the MP-2020 report, the RPEC revised its committee-selected long-term rate to reflect a higher rate at younger ages, an earlier commencement of the grade-down, and a faster grade-down after age 80.





What happens in practice? Assia Billig



Looking to the horizon: how should we set long-term longevity improvement rates?

Club Vita webinar

Assia Billig, Chief Actuary

Office of the Chief Actuary, OSFI, Canada

November 9, 2022





Projections context

- Canadian population mortality
- Long-term projections: 75+ years
- No advantage of discounting
 - Open group projections
 - Focus on cash flow, not on liability
- Focus on older population
 - Mortality rates at younger ages are low



CPP methodology

- Developed using a combination of backward- and forwardlooking approaches and best judgement
- What happened in the past?
- What are potential trends?
- Reasonableness tests



Past – is it repeatable?





Source: Mortality Projections for Social Security Programs in Canada, Actuarial Study No. 21, OCA

OSFI BSIF

Mortality Rates by Cause of Death (65+)

OSFI BSIF

Males **Females** per 1,000 per 1,000 28 28 28 28 Annual Mortality Improvement Rates Annual Mortality Improvement Rates Annual Mortality Improvement Rates Annual Mortality Improvement Rates (Malignant Neoplasms) (Diseases of Heart) (Malignant Neoplasms) (Diseases of Heart) 24 1979-1989 1979-1989 2.5% 24 -0.9% 24 1979-1989 1979-1989 2.2% 24 -1.0% **Diseases of Heart** 1989-1999 0.3% 1989-1999 1.8% 0.9% 1989-1999 -0.8% 1989-1999 1999-2009 1.0% 1999-2009 3.9% 1999-2009 0.1% 1999-2009 3.1% 2009-2019 1.8% 2009-2019 2.1% 2009-2019 2009-2019 2.4% 20 20 1.3% 20 20 Diseases of Heart 16 16 16 16 Malignant Neoplasms 12 12 12 12 Malignant Neoplasms 8 8 8 8 Cerebrovascular Diseases Cerebrovascular Diseases **Unspecified Dementia** 4 4 Unspecified Dementia 4 4 Chronic Lower Respiratory Disease Chronic Lower Respiratory Disease Accidents Accidents 0 1979 1981 1983 1985 1987 1989 1991 1993 1995 1997 1999 2001 2003 2005 2007 2009 2011 2013 2015 2017 2019 1979 1981 1983 1985 1987 1989 1991 1993 1995 1997 1999 2001 2003 2005 2007 2009 2011 2013 2015 2017 2019

Future – may take an educated guess for the next 20 -30 years



Future potential drivers of mortality

- Potential drivers of longevity
 - lifestyle,
 - environment,
 - healthcare systems,
 - medical technologies, etc.
- Structure of the Canadian population is changing
- Mid- and short-term uncertainty
 - Effects of pandemics



OSFI

BSIF

Ratio of Mortality Rates between Lowest and Highest Income Quintiles, All Ages, 1991-2006, Canada



Source: (Tjepkema, M., 2013), Health Reports, Vol. 24, no. 7, pp. 14-22, July 2013 • Statistics Canada, Catalogue no. 82-003-X Cause specific mortality by income adequacy in Canada: A 16-year follow-up study • Research Article.

OSFI

BSIF

Medical advancements

Trends in Federal Research by Discipline, FY 1970-2017, in Billions of Constant Fiscal Year 2019 US Dollars





Source: NSF Federal Funds for Research and Development series, Constant-dollar conversions based on OMB's GDP deflators. 2019 AAAS

Reasonableness tests



International Comparisons Period Life Expectancy at Age 65, Males





Source: Mortality Projections for Social Security Programs in Canada, Actuarial Study No. 21, OCA

Impact of Removing Mortality from Malignant Neoplasms and Heart Diseases over 50 Years on Period Life Expectancy at Age 65



OSFI BSIF

Living to advanced ages - Measures of Longevity

Measures of Age at Death – Males





Source: Mortality Projections for Social Security Programs in Canada, Actuarial Study No. 21, OCA

Conclusion

- Past is not always a predictor of future
 - Mathematical models are mainly based on the past
- We may take an educated guess over the next few decade — Trends' breaks happen (e.g. COVID-19)
- Wide spread of views of the future
- Long-term MIRs are often dictated by actuarial practice considerations





What happens in practice? *Stuart McDonald*

Long term mortality improvements

What are people doing to form a view and how do approaches compare internationally?

7 November 2022





Stuart McDonald MBE Partner - Head of Longevity and Demographic Insights

Tel: +44 (0)7747 032068 stuart.mcdonald@lcp.uk.com

This generic presentation should not be relied upon for detailed advice or taken as an authoritative statement of the law. If you would like any assistance or further information, please contact the partner who normally advises you. While this document does not represent our advice, nevertheless it should not be passed to any third party without our formal written agreement.

Lane Clark & Peacock LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC301436. LCP is a registered trademark in the UK (Regd. TM No 2315442) and in the EU (Regd. TM No 002935583). All partners are members of Lane Clark & Peacock LLP. A list of members' names is available for inspection at 95 Wigmore Street, London, W1U 1DQ, the firm's principal place of business and registered office.

Lane Clark & Peacock LLP is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority and is licensed by the Institute and Faculty of Actuaries for a range of investment business activities. Locations in London, Winchester and Ireland

© Lane Clark & Peacock LLP 2022

https://www.lop.uk.com/emails-important-information contains important information about this communication from LCP, including limitations as to its use.

Life expectancy by age in England & Wales



Life expectancy given that a person reached a certain age since 1700



Data source: Life expectancy at birth Clio-Infra. Data on life expectany at age 1 and older from the Human Mortality Database. **OurWorldinData.org** – Research and data to make progress against the world's largest problems.

Licensed under CC-BY by the author Max Roser

The CMI model

Typical example





The CMI model

+ LCP powering possibility

Raw improvement rates since 1992



History of England & Wales mortality improvements

The CMI model



Smoothing for past experience



History of England & Wales mortality improvements

Mortality rates relative to 2019



Mortality improvements have slowed since 2011, ages 65-100



UK male mortality by cause



Trend in age-standardised mortality rates from selected causes of death England and Wales males, over age 65



Short to Medium Term Impacts



Missing diagnoses and prescriptions



Pearson-Stuttard et al, in submission

57% fewer Type II Diabetes Diagnoses.

Half a million fewer people initiated anti-hypertensive treatments.



Dale et al, medrxiv, Jan 2022

Record life expectancy of women



The chart shows the country with the highest life expectancy for a woman since 1840



This chart was originaly published in Oeppen and Vaupel (2002) – Broken Limits to Life Expectancy. Published in Science, 296, 5570, 1029-1031. This version of the chart is extending Oeppen and Vaupel (2002) by adding more recent estimates for Japan and is completely redrawn and newly annotated. Published under CC-BY-SA by www.OurWorldInData.org

Longer-term view on improvements

Age standardised over ages 65-100, pre-pandemic



Average annual improvements averaged over the decades



Benchmarking the long-term rate

Long-term rates used by different pension schemes

Prudent funding assumption:



Best-estimate accounting assumption:



Pension schemes have herded towards a long-term rate assumption of 1.25-1.5% pa

European comparisons of period life expectancy



Convergence of period life expectancies in a number of European countries



Period life expectancy at birth females

Increasingly different life expectancies at 65

Some sub-populations have seen declines in life expectancy

Difference in 2013 between IMD 1 and 10: Males: 9.1 years Females: 6.9 years Difference in 2019 between IMD 1 and 10: Males: 9.4 years Females: 7.7 years



Male Female



Questions?





Assia Billig

Chief Actuary of the Government of Canada

Director, Deloitte; Former chair of SoA's RPEC

Tim Geddes

Stuart McDonald

Longevity modeler Partner, LCP; Co-char, COVID-19 Actuaries Response Group; ExCo member, IFoA's CMI

Shantel Aris

Erik Pickett (Chair) Actuary & Chief Content Officer, Club Vita

& Head of Experience Studies, Club Vita



Thank you

This presentation contains confidential information belonging to Club VITA LLP (CV). CV are the owner or the licensee of all intellectual property rights in the presentation. All such rights are reserved. The material and charts included herewith are provided as background information for illustration purposes only. This presentation is not a definitive analysis of the subjects covered and should not be regarded as a substitute for specific advice in relation to the matters addressed. It is not advice and should not be relied upon. This presentation should not be released or otherwise disclosed to any third party without prior consent from CV. CV accept no liability for errors or omissions or reliance upon any statement or opinion herein.